1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION	
3	ADAPTIX, INC.	
4	Plaintiff,	
5	V.	Case No. 5:15-cv-00165-PSG
6	ZTE CORPORATION, et al.,	Hon. Paul S. Grewal
7	Defendants.	
8		
9	ADAPTIX, INC.	
10	Plaintiff,	
11	V.	Case No. 5:15-cv-00166-PSG
12	ZTE CORPORATION, et al.,	Hon. Paul S. Grewal
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	ADAPTIX, INC.	
16	Plaintiff,	
17	V.	Case No. 5:15-cv-00167-PSG
18	ZTE CORPORATION, et al.,	Hon. Paul S. Grewal
19	Defendants.	
20	ADAPTIX, INC.	
21	Plaintiff,	
22	V.	Case No. 5:15-cv-00168-PSG
23	ZTE CORPORATION, et al.,	Hon. Paul S. Grewal
24	Defendants.	iioii. i uui 5. Giewui
25		
26		

27

28

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF ADAPTIX, INC.'S PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS REQUIRED BY THE ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY AGREEMENTS. 2 AND DEFENDANTS' REQUEST TO MODIFY THE ORDER 3 Before the Court is Defendants ZTE (USA) Inc., Boost Mobile, LLC, Sprint Spectrum, L.P., T-4 Mobile USA Inc., and MetroPCS Wireless, Inc.'s (collectively "ZTE Defendants") request for an Order 5 compelling Plaintiff Adaptix, Inc. ("Adaptix") to produce all documents required by the Order 6 Regarding Discovery Agreements issued on May 13, 2015. (See Orders at Case No. 15-cv-00165, Dkt. 7 No. 217; Case No. 15-cv-00166, Dkt. No. 218; Case No. 15-cv-00167, Dkt. No. 221; and Case No. 15-8 cv-00168, Dkt. No. 183.) Also before the Court is the ZTE Defendants' request to modify the Order 9 Regarding Discovery Agreements. 10 The Court has considered the parties' letter briefs, and held a teleconference with counsel on 11 September 17, 2015. Based on representations from Adaptix's counsel that Adaptix will timely 12 produce all documents required by the Order Regarding Discovery Agreements, the Court will not 13 issue an Order compelling Adaptix to do so at this time. 14 The Court hereby GRANTS the ZTE Defendants' request to modify the Order Regarding 15 Discovery Agreements. Paragraph 11.a. of the Order is hereby AMENDED to include the following 16 provision: 17 Plaintiff will also produce all expert reports on patent invalidity, and exhibits thereto, served by any defendant in any case brought by 18 Adaptix. 19 Further, Paragraph 14.a. of the Order is hereby AMENDED to include the following provision: 20 If Adaptix intends to use, in the ZTE Cases, the trial testimony of a witness from another Adaptix case to which ZTE is not a party, Adaptix 21 shall promptly advise ZTE Defendants of the same. Adaptix shall also promptly make the witness available to the ZTE Defendants for a 22 deposition not to exceed three (3) hours. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 DATED: September 18 . 2015 26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 27 28